www.haleyaldrich.com



2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA /BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER ST. MARYS, KANSAS

by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Cleveland, Ohio

for Westar Energy, Inc. Topeka, Kansas



Table of Contents

List List	of Tab of Figu	les ires		ii ii
1.	Intro	2		
2.	40 C	3		
	2.1	40 CFI	R § 257.90(A)	3
	2.2	40 CFF	R § 257.90(E) – SUMMARY	3
		2.2.1	Status of the Groundwater Monitoring Program	3
		2.2.2	Key Actions Completed	3
		2.2.3	Problems Encountered	4
		2.2.4	Actions to Resolve Problems	4
		2.2.5	Project Key Activities for Upcoming Year	4
	2.3	40 CFF	R § 257.90(E) – INFORMATION	4
		2.3.1	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(1)	4
		2.3.2	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(2) – Monitoring System Changes	4
		2.3.3	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(3) – Summary of Sampling Events	5
		2.3.4	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(4) – Monitoring Transition Narrative	5
		2.3.5	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(5) – Other Requirements	5

Revision No.	Date	Notes





Page

2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

List of Tables

Table No.	Title
I	Summary of Analytical Results – Detection Monitoring
List of Figures	
Figure No	Title
	inte
1	Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill Monitoring Well Location Map



This Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report documents the groundwater monitoring system for the Jeffrey Energy Center (JEC) Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill (BASA/BAL) consistent with applicable sections of § 257.90 through 257.98, and describes activities conducted in the prior calendar year (2018) and documents compliance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency Coal Combustion Residual Rule. I certify that the 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report for the JEC BASA/BAL is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete.

Signed:

Professional Geologist

Print Name: Kansas License No.: Title: Company: Mark Nicholls Professional Geologist No. 881 Technical Expert 2 Haley & Aldrich, Inc.



Mark Nicholls 13:25:38 -07'00'

Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill Jeffrey Energy Center



1. Introduction

This 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (Annual Report) addresses the Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill (BASA/BAL) at the Jeffrey Energy Center (JEC), operated by Westar Energy, Inc. (Westar). This Annual Report was developed in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Rule effective 19 October 2015 (Rule), specifically Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 (40 CFR), subsection § 257.90(e). The Annual Report documents the groundwater monitoring system for the BASA/BAL consistent with applicable sections of § 257.90 through 257.98, and describes activities conducted in the prior calendar year (2018) and documents compliance with the Rule. The specific requirements for the Annual Report listed in § 257.90(e) of the Rule are provided in Section 2 of this Annual Report and are in bold italic font, followed by a short narrative describing how each Rule requirement has been met.



2. 40 CFR § 257.90 Applicability

2.1 40 CFR § 257.90(a)

Except as provided for in §257.100 for inactive CCR surface impoundments, all CCR landfills, CCR surface impoundments, and lateral expansions of CCR units are subject to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements under §257.90 through 257.98.

Westar has installed and certified a groundwater monitoring system at the JEC BASA/BAL. The BASA/BAL is a multi-unit system subject to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements described under 40 CFR § 257.90 through 257.98. This document addresses the requirement for the Owner/Operator to prepare an Annual Report per § 257.90(e) (Rule).

2.2 40 CFR § 257.90(e) – SUMMARY

Annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report. For existing CCR landfills and existing CCR surface impoundments, no later than January 31, 2018, and annually thereafter, the owner or operator must prepare an annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report. For new CCR landfills, new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR units, the owner or operator must prepare the initial annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report no later than January 31 of the year following the calendar year a groundwater monitoring system has been established for such CCR unit as required by this subpart, and annually thereafter. For the preceding calendar year, the annual report must document the status of the groundwater monitoring and corrective action program for the CCR unit, summarize key actions completed, describe any problems encountered, discuss actions to resolve the problems, and project key activities for the upcoming year. For purposes of this section, the owner or operator has prepared the annual report when the report is placed in the facility's operating record as required by §257.105(h)(1).

This Annual Report describes monitoring completed and actions taken for the groundwater monitoring system at the JEC BASA/BAL as required by the Rule. Groundwater sampling and analysis was conducted in accordance with requirements described in § 257.93, and the status of the groundwater monitoring program described in § 257.94 is provided in this report. This Annual Report documents the applicable groundwater-related activities completed in the calendar year 2018.

2.2.1 Status of the Groundwater Monitoring Program

Statistical analyses of detection monitoring data completed in 2018 indicated no Appendix III statistically significant increases (SSIs) at the BASA/BAL. The BASA/BAL remains in the detection monitoring program.

2.2.2 Key Actions Completed

The 2017 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report was completed in January 2018. Statistical analysis was completed in January 2018 on analytical data from the



initial detection monitoring sampling event. The statistical analyses indicated no SSIs for Appendix III constituents. Sampling for the first semi-annual detection monitoring event was completed in March 2018. Statistical analysis was completed within 90 days of receipt of finalized laboratory data. No SSIs were determined for this sampling event. Sampling for the second semi-annual detection monitoring event was completed in September 2018. Statistical analysis of the results from the second semi-annual detection monitoring sampling event are due to be completed in January 2019 and will be reported in the next annual report.

2.2.3 Problems Encountered

No noteworthy problems (i.e. problems could include damaged wells, Issues with sample collection or lack of sampling, and problems with analytical analysis) were encountered at the JEC BASA/BAL in 2018.

2.2.4 Actions to Resolve Problems

No problems were encountered at the JEC BASA/BAL in 2018, therefore, no actions to resolve the problems were required.

2.2.5 Project Key Activities for Upcoming Year

Key activities planned for 2019 include the 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, statistical analysis of detection monitoring analytical data collected in September 2018, and semi-annual detection monitoring and subsequent statistical analysis.

2.3 40 CFR § 257.90(e) – INFORMATION

At a minimum, the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report must contain the following information, to the extent available:

2.3.1 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(1)

A map, aerial image, or diagram showing the CCR unit and all background (or upgradient) and downgradient monitoring wells, to include the well identification numbers, that are part of the groundwater monitoring program for the CCR unit;

As required by § 257.90(e)(1), a map showing the locations of the CCR unit and associated upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells for the BASA/BAL is included in this report as Figure 1.

2.3.2 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(2) – Monitoring System Changes

Identification of any monitoring wells that were installed or decommissioned during the preceding year, along with a narrative description of why those actions were taken;

No monitoring wells were installed or decommissioned during in 2018.



2.3.3 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(3) – Summary of Sampling Events

In addition to all the monitoring data obtained under §257.90 through §257.98, a summary including the number of groundwater samples that were collected for analysis for each background and downgradient well, the dates the samples were collected, and whether the sample was required by the detection monitoring or assessment monitoring programs;

In accordance with § 257.94(b), two independent detection monitoring samples from each background and downgradient monitoring well were collected during 2018. A summary table including the sample names, dates of sample collection, and monitoring data obtained for the groundwater monitoring program for the BASA/BAL is presented in Table I of this report.

2.3.4 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(4) – Monitoring Transition Narrative

A narrative discussion of any transition between monitoring programs (e.g., the date and circumstances for transitioning from detection monitoring to assessment monitoring in addition to identifying the constituent(s) detected at a statistically significant increase over background levels); and

Initial detection monitoring statistical analyses were completed in January 2018, in accordance with § 257.94(b). The analyte concentrations from the downgradient wells for each of the Appendix III constituents from the 2017 detection monitoring sampling event from each location were compared to their respective predicative limit (PL). Once data is validated, a sample concentration greater than the PL is considered to represent a SSI over background. The statistical analyses indicated no SSIs for Appendix III constituents.

2.3.5 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(5) – Other Requirements

Other information required to be included in the annual report as specified in §257.90 through §257.98.

This Annual Report documents activities conducted to comply with § 257.90 through § 257.95 of the Rule. It is understood that there are supplemental references in § 257.90 through § 257.98 to information that must be placed in the Annual Report. The following requirements include relevant and required information in the Annual Report for the activities completed in calendar year 2018.

2.3.5.1 40 CFR § 257.94(d)(3) – Demonstration for Alternative Detection Monitoring Frequency

The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority stating that the demonstration for an alternative groundwater sampling and analysis frequency meets the requirements of this section. The owner or operator must include the demonstration providing the basis for the alternative monitoring frequency and the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e).



An alternative groundwater detection monitoring sampling and analysis frequency has not been established for this CCR unit; therefore, no demonstration or certification is applicable.

2.3.5.2 40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2) – Detection Monitoring Alternate Source Demonstration

The owner or operator may demonstrate that a source other than the CCR unit caused the statistically significant increase over background levels for a constituent or that the statistically significant increase resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality. The owner or operator must complete the written demonstration within 90 days of detecting a statistically significant increase over background levels to include obtaining a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority verifying the accuracy of the information in the report. If a successful demonstration is completed within the 90-day period, the owner or operator of the CCR unit may continue with a detection monitoring program under this section. If a successful demonstration is not completed within the 90-day period, the owner or operator of the CCR unit must initiate an assessment monitoring program as required under § 257.95. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority.

No Appendix III SSIs were indicated by statistical analyses completed in 2018, consequently, no alternative source demonstration or certification is applicable.

2.3.5.3 40 CFR § 257.95(c)(3) – Demonstration for Alternative Assessment Monitoring Frequency

The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority stating that the demonstration for an alternative groundwater sampling and analysis frequency meets the requirements of this section. The owner or operator must include the demonstration providing the basis for the alternative monitoring frequency and the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e).

The BASA/BAL remains in detection monitoring and an alternative groundwater assessment monitoring sampling and analysis frequency has not been established for this CCR unit; therefore, no demonstration or certification is applicable.

2.3.5.4 40 CFR § 257.95(d)(3) – Assessment Monitoring Concentrations and Groundwater Protection Standards

Include the recorded concentrations required by paragraph (d)(1) of this section, identify the background concentrations established under § 257.94(b), and identify the groundwater protection standards established under paragraph (d)(2) of this section in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e).



The BASA/BAL has not transitioned into assessment monitoring, and no assessment monitoring samples were collected or analyzed in 2018. Consequently, Westar is not required to establish groundwater protection standards for this CCR unit and this criterion is not applicable.

2.3.5.5 40 CFR § 257.95(g)(3)(ii) – Assessment Monitoring Alternate Source Demonstration

Demonstrate that a source other than the CCR unit caused the contamination, or that the statistically significant increase resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality. Any such demonstration must be supported by a report that includes the factual or evidentiary basis for any conclusions and must be certified to be accurate by a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority. If a successful demonstration is made, the owner or operator must continue monitoring in accordance with the assessment monitoring program pursuant to this section and may return to detection monitoring if the constituents in appendices III and IV to this part are at or below background as specified in paragraph (e) of this section. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority.

Assessment monitoring statistical analyses were not required or completed in 2018. Therefore, this criterion is not applicable.

2.3.5.6 40 CFR § 257.96(a) – Demonstration for Additional Time for Assessment of Corrective Measures

Within 90 days of finding that any constituent listed in appendix IV to this part has been detected at a statistically significant level exceeding the groundwater protection standard defined under § 257.95(h), or immediately upon detection of a release from a CCR unit, the owner or operator must initiate an assessment of corrective measures to prevent further releases, to remediate any releases and to restore affected area to original conditions. The assessment of corrective measures must be completed within 90 days, unless the owner or operator demonstrates the need for additional time to complete the assessment of corrective measures due to site-specific conditions or circumstances. The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority attesting that the demonstration is accurate. The 90-day deadline to complete the assessment of corrective measures may be extended for no longer than 60 days. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority.

Assessment monitoring statistical analyses were not required or completed in 2018. Therefore, this criterion is not applicable.



TABLE

TABLE I

SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTION MONITORING

WESTAR ENERGY, INC.

JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER

BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA/BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL

ST. MARYS, KANSAS

Location	Upgradient MW-BAA-6		Downgradient								
			MW-	MW-BAA-2		BAA-3	MW-BAA-7				
Measure Point (TOC)	130	1.81	122	6.56	122	2.00	121	3.15			
Sample Name	BAA-6-031318	BAA-6-091218	BAA-2-031318	BAA-2-091218	BAA-3-031318	BAA-3-091218	BAA-7-031318	BAA-7-091218			
Sample Date	3/13/2018	9/12/2018	3/13/2018	9/12/2018	3/13/2018	9/12/2018	3/13/2018	9/12/2018			
Lab Data Reviewed and Accepted	4/16/2018	10/15/2018	4/16/2018	10/15/2018	4/16/2018	10/15/2018	4/16/2018	10/15/2018			
Depth to Water (ft btoc)	80.00	78.67	14.94	15.52	15.43	12.79	18.88	19.81			
Temperature (Deg C)	50.40	17.50	56.80	20.04	51.90	18.56	56.00	18.91			
Conductivity (µS/cm)	3554	4100	1087	2270	3235	3430	2239	2280			
Turbidity (NTU)	1.50	0.53	0.73	0.09	2.09	0.91	0.64	0.14			
Boron, Total (mg/L)	4.6	5.9	0.72	1.38	2.2	2.3	0.90	0.837			
Calcium, Total (mg/L)	513	490	135	214	506	487	216	208			
Chloride (mg/L)	252	314	70.0	220	149	172	194	211			
Fluoride (mg/L)	0.43	0.79	0.57	0.63	0.72	0.92	0.77	0.79			
Sulfate (mg/L)	2120	2190	387	983	1940	2170	914	914			
pH (su)	7.0	7.1	7.4	8.5	7.2	6.9	7.5	7.4			
TDS (mg/L)	3570	3630	949	1790	3330	3430	1800	1800			

Notes:

µS/cm = micro Siemens per centimeter

ft btoc = feet below top of casing

Deg C = degrees Celsius

mg/L = milligrams per liter

NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Unit

su = standard unit

TDS = total dissolved solids

TOC = top of casing

Bold value: Detection above laboratory reporting limit



FIGURE



LEGEND



MONITORING WELL

 \bigcirc

PIEZOMETRIC OBSERVATION ONLY

BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA / BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL

NOTES

1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

2. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: ESRI



250 SCALE IN FEET

HALFY WESTAR ENERGY JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER ST. MARY'S, KANSAS

BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA / BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL MONITORING WELL LOCATION MAP

JANUARY 2019

FIGURE 1

500



HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. 6500 Rockside Road Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44131 216.739.0555

November 3, 2022 Project No. 0204993-000

- TO: Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Jared Morrison – Director, Water and Waste Programs
- FROM: Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Steven F. Putrich, P.E., Principal Consultant – Engineering Principal Mark Nicholls, P.G., Senior Associate – Senior Hydrogeologist
- SUBJECT:2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report Addendum
Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.
Jeffrey Energy Center
Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill

The Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. (Evergy) Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill (BASA/BAL) at the Jeffrey Energy Center is subject to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements described under Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) §257.90 through §257.98 (Rule). An Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action (GWMCA) Report documenting the activities completed in 2018 for the BASA/BAL was completed and placed in the facility's operating record on January 31, 2019, as required by the Rule. The Annual GWMCA Report contained the specific information listed in 40 CFR §257.90(e).

This report addendum has been prepared to supplement the operating record in recognition of comments received by Evergy from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) on January 11, 2022. In addition to the information listed in 40 CFR §257.90(e), the USEPA indicated in their comments that the GWMCA Report should contain:

- Results of laboratory analysis of groundwater or other environmental media samples for the presence of constituents of Appendices III and IV to 40 CFR Part 257 (or of other constituents, such as those supporting characterization of site conditions that may ultimately affect a remedy);
- Required statistical analyses performed on those (laboratory analysis) results;
- Measured groundwater elevations; and
- Calculated groundwater flow rate and direction.

While this information is not specifically referred to in 40 CFR §257.90(e) for inclusion in the GWMCA Report, it has been routinely collected and maintained in Evergy's files and is being provided in the attachments to this addendum. The applicable laboratory analysis reports for 2018 sampling events are included in Attachment 1, and a discussion of the applicable statistical analyses completed in 2018 are included in Attachment 2 of this addendum. For each of the 2018 sampling events, the measured groundwater elevations, with calculated groundwater flow rates and directions, have been included in Attachment 3.

Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. November 3, 2022 Page 2

The Attachments to this addendum are described below:

- Attachment 1 Laboratory Analytical Reports: Includes laboratory data packages with supporting information such as case narrative, sample and method summary, analytical results, quality control, and chain-of-custody documentation. The laboratory data packages for the sampling events completed in March and September 2018 are provided.
- Attachment 2 Statistical Analyses: Includes a discussion of the statistical analyses utilized along with a table summarizing the statistical outputs (e.g., frequency of detection, maximum detection, variance, standard deviation, coefficient of variance, outlier tests, trends, upper and lower confidence limits, and comparison against Groundwater Protection Standards), and supporting backup for statistical analyses completed in 2018. Statistical analyses completed in 2018 included:
 - Overview of the January 2018 statistical analyses for data obtained in the August 2016 through August 2017 baseline sampling events; and
 - Overview of the July 2018 statistical analyses for data obtained in the March 2018 sampling event.
- Attachment 3 Groundwater Potentiometric Maps: Includes the measured groundwater elevations at each well and the generalized groundwater flow direction and calculated flow rate. Maps for the sampling events completed in March and September 2018 are provided.



ATTACHMENT 1 Laboratory Analytical Reports ATTACHMENT 1-1 March 2018 Sampling Event Laboratory Analytical Report



Pace Analytical Services, LLC 9608 Loiret Blvd. Lenexa, KS 66219 (913)599-5665

June 26, 2018

Brandon Griffin Westar Energy 818 S. Kansas Ave Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Project: JEC BAA CCR Pace Project No.: 60266064

Dear Brandon Griffin:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on March 16, 2018. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current, applicable TNI/NELAC standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

Revised Report_rev.1 Per the client's request, the 300.0 Fluoride result was re-evaluated. During the review, the lab found that there was a tail on the fluoride peak that caused a high bias. The new result has been reported.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Anter m. Willion

Heather Wilson heather.wilson@pacelabs.com 1(913)563-1407 Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: Andrew Hare, Westar Energy Adam Kneeling, Haley & Aldrich, Inc. JARED MORRISON, WESTAR ENERGY Melissa Michels, Westar Energy



REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.



CERTIFICATIONS

Project: JEC BAA CCR Pace Project No.: 60266064

Kansas Certification IDs

9608 Loiret Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Missouri Certification Number: 10090 WY STR Certification #: 2456.01 Arkansas Certification #: 17-016-0 Illinois Certification #: 200030 Iowa Certification #: 118 Kansas/NELAP Certification #: E-10116 Louisiana Certification #: 03055 Nevada Certification #: KS000212018-1 Oklahoma Certification #: 9205/9935 Texas Certification #: T104704407 Utah Certification #: KS00021 Kansas Field Laboratory Accreditation: # E-92587 Missouri Certification: 10070 Missouri Certification Number: 10090



SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	Water	03/13/18 09:25	03/16/18 06:20
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	Water	03/13/18 10:18	03/16/18 06:20
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	Water	03/13/18 11:19	03/16/18 06:20
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	Water	03/13/18 12:02	03/16/18 06:20



SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Project:JEC BAA CCRPace Project No.:60266064

Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysts	Analytes Reported	Laboratory
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	OL	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	MJK	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	AGO	3	PASI-K
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	OL	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	MJK	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	AGO	3	PASI-K
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	OL	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	MJK	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	AGO	3	PASI-K
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	OL	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	MJK	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	AGO	3	PASI-K



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Method:	EPA 200.7
Description:	200.7 Metals, Total
Client:	WESTAR ENERGY
Date:	June 26, 2018

General Information:

4 samples were analyzed for EPA 200.7. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

Sample Preparation:

The samples were prepared in accordance with EPA 200.7 with any exceptions noted below.

Initial Calibrations (including MS Tune as applicable):

All criteria were within method requirements with any exceptions noted below.

Continuing Calibration:

All criteria were within method requirements with any exceptions noted below.

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

QC Batch: 518080

A matrix spike and/or matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) were performed on the following sample(s): 60265826001,60265958001

M1: Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.

• MS (Lab ID: 2120634)

Calcium

Additional Comments:



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Method: SM 2540C

Description:2540C Total Dissolved SolidsClient:WESTAR ENERGYDate:June 26, 2018

General Information:

4 samples were analyzed for SM 2540C. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Duplicate Sample:

All duplicate sample results were within method acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Method:	SM 4500-H+B
Description:	4500H+ pH, Electrometric
Client:	WESTAR ENERGY
Date:	June 26, 2018

General Information:

4 samples were analyzed for SM 4500-H+B. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

H6: Analysis initiated outside of the 15 minute EPA required holding time.

- BAA-2-031318 (Lab ID: 60266064003)
- BAA-3-031318 (Lab ID: 60266064002)
- BAA-6-031318 (Lab ID: 60266064001)
- BAA-7-031318 (Lab ID: 60266064004)

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Duplicate Sample:

All duplicate sample results were within method acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Method:EPA 300.0Description:300.0 IC Anions 28 DaysClient:WESTAR ENERGYDate:June 26, 2018

General Information:

4 samples were analyzed for EPA 300.0. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:

This data package has been reviewed for quality and completeness and is approved for release.



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Sample: BAA-6-031318	Lab ID: 60	266064001	Collected: 03/13/	18 09:25	Received: 03	/16/18 06:20 N	Aatrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable	4.6	mg/L	0.10	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:08	7440-42-8	
Calcium, Total Recoverable	513	mg/L	0.20	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:08	7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 25	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	3570	mg/L	5.0	1		03/17/18 12:16		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 45	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.0	Std. Units	s 0.10	1		03/20/18 10:45		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	252	mg/L	50.0	50		03/21/18 22:39	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.43	mg/L	0.20	1		03/20/18 21:56	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	2120	mg/L	500	500		03/21/18 22:54	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Sample: BAA-3-031318	Lab ID: 602	266064002	Collected: 03/13/	18 10:18	8 Received: 03	/16/18 06:20 N	Aatrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable	2.2	mg/L	0.10	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:10	7440-42-8	
Calcium, Total Recoverable	506	mg/L	0.20	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:10	7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 25	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	3330	mg/L	5.0	1		03/17/18 12:17		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 45	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.2	Std. Units	s 0.10	1		03/20/18 10:48		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	149	mg/L	20.0	20		03/21/18 23:10	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.72	mg/L	0.20	1		03/20/18 22:10	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	1940	mg/L	500	500		03/21/18 23:25	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Sample: BAA-2-031318	Lab ID: 60	266064003	Collected: 03/13/	18 11:19	Received: 03	/16/18 06:20 N	latrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable	0.72	mg/L	0.10	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:13	7440-42-8	
Calcium, Total Recoverable	135	mg/L	0.20	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:13	7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 25	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	949	mg/L	5.0	1		03/17/18 12:17		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 45	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.4	Std. Units	s 0.10	1		03/20/18 10:51		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	70.0	mg/L	10.0	10		03/21/18 23:40	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.57	mg/L	0.20	1		03/20/18 22:23	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	387	mg/L	50.0	50		03/21/18 23:56	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

Sample: BAA-7-031318	Lab ID: 60	266064004	Collected: 03/13/2	18 12:02	Received: 03	8/16/18 06:20 N	latrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable	0.90	mg/L	0.10	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:15	7440-42-8	
Calcium, Total Recoverable	216	mg/L	0.20	1	03/19/18 12:55	03/21/18 16:15	7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 254	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	1800	mg/L	5.0	1		03/17/18 12:17		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 45	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.5	Std. Units	0.10	1		03/20/18 10:53		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	194	mg/L	25.0	25		03/22/18 00:42	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.77	mg/L	0.20	1		03/20/18 22:37	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	914	mg/L	200	200		03/22/18 00:57	14808-79-8	



Project:	JEC BAA C	CR											
Pace Project No.:	60266064												
QC Batch:	518080			Analys	sis Method	: E	PA 200.7						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 200.	7		Analys	sis Descrip	tion: 20	00.7 Metals,	Total					
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 60	26606400	1, 60266064002	, 60266064	1003, 6026	6064004							
METHOD BLANK:	2120630			I	Matrix: Wa	ter							
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 60	26606400 ⁻	1, 60266064002	, 60266064	4003, 6026	6064004							
				Blanl	k R	eporting							
Parameter			Units	Resu	lt	Limit	Analyz	ed	Qualifiers				
Boron			mg/L		<0.10	0.10	03/21/18	15:34					
Calcium			mg/L		<0.20	0.20	03/21/18	15:34					
LABORATORY CO	NTROL SAM	1PLE: 2'	120631										
_				Spike	LCS	5	LCS	% Rec	;				
Parameter		Units	Conc.	Resu	ult	% Rec	Limits	Q	ualifiers	-			
Boron			mg/L	1	1	0.93	93	85	-115				
Calcium			mg/L	10)	9.8	98	85	-115				
MATRIX SPIKE & N	ATRIX SPI		CATE: 21206	32		2120633							
				MS	MSD								
Deremet	~ *	Linita	60265826001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS % Dec	MSD	% Rec	חחח	Max	Qual
		Units						% Rec	% Rec				Quai
Boron		mg/L	ND 35300	1 10	1	0.95	0.97 45.8	94 88	95 105	70-130	2	20	
Calcium		iiig/E	ug/L	10	10	2	40.0	00	100	10 130	-	20	
MATRIX SPIKE SA	MPLE:	2'	120634										
				602659	958001	Spike	MS	Μ	S	% Rec			
Parar	meter		Units	Res	sult	Conc.	Result	% F	Rec	Limits		Quali	iers
Boron			mg/L		367 ug/L	1	1	.4	99	70-	130		
Calcium			mg/L	139	000 ug/L	10	1:	53	135	70-	130 M	1	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR								
Pace Project No.:	60266064								
QC Batch:	518013	Analysis Me	ethod:	SM 2540C					
QC Batch Method:	QC Batch Method: SM 2540C			escription:	2540C Total Dis	ssolved Solids			
Associated Lab San	nples: 60266064	001, 6026606400	02, 60266064003,	60266064004					
METHOD BLANK:	2120266		Matrix	k: Water					
Associated Lab San	nples: 60266064	001, 6026606400	2, 60266064003,	60266064004					
			Blank	Reporting					
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyze	d Qualit	lifiers		
Total Dissolved Solids		mg/L	<5.0 5.		03/17/18 12	2:10			
LABORATORY CON	NTROL SAMPLE:	2120267	Spiko	1.05		% Boo			
Parameter		Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	% Rec Limits	Qualifiers		
Total Dissolved Solie	ds	mg/L	1000	976	98	80-120			
SAMPLE DUPLICA	TE: 2120268								
			60265785007	Dup		Max			
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifiers		
Total Dissolved Solid	ds	mg/L	320	30)2	6	10		
	TE: 2120269								
			60266066001	Dup		Max			
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifiers		
Total Dissolved Solie	ds	mg/L	491	52	20	6	10		

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR										
Pace Project No.:	60266064										
QC Batch:	518259	Analysis Meth	od:	SM 4500-H+B							
QC Batch Method:	SM 4500-H+B		Analysis Desc	ription:							
Associated Lab Sa	mples: 60266064001,	6026606400	2, 60266064003, 60	26606400	4						
SAMPLE DUPLICA	TE: 2121548										
			60265693002	Dup		Max					
Parameter		Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifiers				

pH at 25 Degrees C	Std. Units	8.4	8.4	1	5	H6

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR							
Pace Project No.:	60266064							
QC Batch:	518423	Analysis M	lethod:	EPA 300.0				
QC Batch Method:	EPA 300.0		Analysis D	escription:	300.0 IC Anions	6		
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 60266064	001, 60266064002	, 60266064003	, 60266064004	ļ			
METHOD BLANK:	2122026		Matri	x: Water				
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 60266064	001, 60266064002	, 60266064003	, 60266064004	Ļ			
			Blank	Reporting				
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyzed	d Qualif	fiers	
Fluoride		mg/L	<0.20	0 0	.20 03/20/18 16	3:00		
LABORATORY CO	NTROL SAMPLE:	2122027						
			Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec		
Paran	neter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers	
Fluoride		mg/L	2.5	2.4	96	90-110		

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR												
Pace Project No.:	60266064												
QC Batch:	518518			Analysis Method:		: E	PA 300.0						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 300.0			Analys	sis Descrip	tion: 3	00.0 IC Anio	ons					
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 60266	064001,	60266064002	, 60266064	003, 6026	6064004							
METHOD BLANK:	2122415			Ν	Matrix: Wa	iter							
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 60266	064001,	60266064002	, 60266064	003, 6026	6064004							
				Blank	K F	Reporting							
Parar	neter		Units	Resu	t	Limit	Analyz	ed	Qualifiers				
Chloride			mg/L		<1.0	1.0	03/21/18	15:52					
Sulfate			mg/L		<1.0	1.0) 03/21/18	15:52					
LABORATORY CO	NTROL SAMPLI	E: 212	2416										
				Spike	LCS	5	LCS	% Re	С				
Parar	neter		Units	Conc.	Resu	ult	% Rec	Limits	s Qi	Jalifiers	_		
Chloride			mg/L	5	i	4.9	98	90	D-110				
Sulfate			mg/L	5	i	5.3	106	90	0-110				
MATRIX SPIKE SA	MPLE:	212	2417										
				602662	31002	Spike	MS	N	/IS	% Rec			
Parar	neter		Units	Res	ult	Conc.	Result	%	Rec	Limits		Qualif	iers
Chloride			mg/L		995	500	15	60	112	80-	120		
Sulfate			mg/L		ND	500	5	73	100	80-1	120		
MATRIX SPIKE & N	ATRIX SPIKE [DUPLICA	TE: 21224 ²	18		2122419							
				MS	MSD								
		6	0265987001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Paramete	er	Units	Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Chloride		mg/L	ND	2500	2500	2760	2780	95	97	80-120	1	15	
Sulfate		mg/L	3610	2500	2500	6180	6250	103	106	80-120	1	15	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



QUALIFIERS

Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60266064

DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

TNTC - Too Numerous To Count

J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

LABORATORIES

PASI-K Pace Analytical Services - Kansas City

ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

H6 Analysis initiated outside of the 15 minute EPA required holding time.

M1 Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.


QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project:	JEC BAA CCR
Pace Project No.:	60266064

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	EPA 200.7	518080	EPA 200.7	518152
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	EPA 200.7	518080	EPA 200.7	518152
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	EPA 200.7	518080	EPA 200.7	518152
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	EPA 200.7	518080	EPA 200.7	518152
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	SM 2540C	518013		
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	SM 2540C	518013		
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	SM 2540C	518013		
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	SM 2540C	518013		
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	SM 4500-H+B	518259		
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	SM 4500-H+B	518259		
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	SM 4500-H+B	518259		
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	SM 4500-H+B	518259		
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	EPA 300.0	518423		
60266064001	BAA-6-031318	EPA 300.0	518518		
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	EPA 300.0	518423		
60266064002	BAA-3-031318	EPA 300.0	518518		
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	EPA 300.0	518423		
60266064003	BAA-2-031318	EPA 300.0	518518		
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	EPA 300.0	518423		
60266064004	BAA-7-031318	EPA 300.0	518518		

		WO#:60266064
Pace Analytical Sample Condition	Upon Receipt	60266064
Client Name: Wester Phergy		
Courier: FedEx DUPS VIA Clay D		Pace 🗆 Xroads 🗆 Client 🗆 Other 🗆
Tracking #: Pr	ace Shipping Label Use	d? Yes □ No ₽
Custody Seal on Cooler/Box Present: Yes No D	Seals intact: Yes	
Packing Material: Bubble Wrap Bubble Bage	s□ Foam □	None \Box Other $\Box' 2 \rho' c$
Thermometer Used: Type	of ice: Wet Blue No	Date and initials of person (
Cooler Temperature (°C): As-read <u>0.7</u> Corr. Fa Temperature should be above freezing to 6°C	ctor <u>to</u> . J Correc	ted <u>0.1</u> examining contents: 3//(_(18
Chain of Custody present:	Yes No N/A	
Chain of Custody relinquished:	Yes No N/A	
Samples arrived within holding time:	→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	
Short Hold Time analyses (<72hr):		ph
Rush Turn Around Time requested:		1
Sufficient volume:	Yes No N/A	
Correct containers used:	Yes No N/A	
Pace containers used:	Yes No N/A	
Containers intact:	Yes No N/A	
Unpreserved 5035A / TX1005/1006 soils frozen in 48hrs?	□Yes □No 🖵 🕅 🗛	
Filtered volume received for dissolved tests?	Yes No ANA	
Sample labels match COC: Date / time / ID / analyses		
Samples contain multiple phases? Matrix: WT	Yes DNo DN/A	
Containers requiring pH preservation in compliance? (HNO ₃ , H ₂ SO ₄ , HCl<2; NaOH>9 Sulfide, NaOH>10 Cyanide) (Exceptions: VOA, Micro, O&G, KS TPH, OK-DRO) Cyanide water sample checks:	Yes No N/A	List sample IDs, volumes, lot #'s of preservative and the date/time added.
Lead acetate strip turns dark? (Record only)	□Yes □No	
Potassium iodide test strip turns blue/purple? (Preserve)	□Yes □No	
Trip Blank present:	Yes No N/A	
Headspace in VOA vials (>6mm):	□Yes □No ∕□N/A	
Samples from USDA Regulated Area: State:		
Additional labels attached to 5035A / TX1005 vials in the fie	Id? 🛛 Yes 🗆 No 🗖 N/A	
Client Notification/ Resolution: Copy COC	to Client? Y / N	Field Data Required? Y / N
Person Contacted: Date	:/Time:	
Comments/ Resolution:		

Project Manager Review:



Date:

Pace Analytical *

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately

Section A Regulred Client Information:	Section B Required Proje	et Infon	mation:					Secti Invoic	on C e Inforr	nation:								-					Pa	ige:	(of	1	
Company: WESTAR ENERGY	Report To: Br	andon	Griffin					Attent	ion:			_		_		_				_	_		_	<u></u>	_			- X
Address 818 Kansas Ave	Соруто: Ја	red Mo	orrison					Comp	any Na	me:								RE	GUL	ATOR	RY A	GENC	Y			110	11.5	- 0
Topeka, KS 66612								Addre	SS:			_						Г	NPE)ES	Γ	GROL	N D N	/ATER	, j	DRINKIN	G WATE	R
Email To: brandon.l.griffin@westarenergy.com	Purchase Orde	r No						Pace C Refere	Duole nce:									-	UST	-		RCRA			Г	OTHER		
Phone: 785-575-8135 Fax	Project Name:	JEC	BAA CC	R				Pace F Manag	Projeci Jer:	Jer	alee	e Con	verse	e 91:	3-56	3-140)1	s	ite Loo	ation	1	ĸ	9					
Requested Due Date/TAT: 7 day	Project Numbe							Pace F	Profile #:	965	57								\$1	ATE:			0	- 1				
															12	Requ	lested	Ana	alysis	Filte	red (Y/N)						
Section D Valid Matrix C Required Clieni Information MATRIX	codes a	(dWl		COLI	ECTED					Pre	serva	atives	2	~ ~ ~														
DFINIFING WATER WATER WASTE WATER PRODUCT SOIL/SOLID OIL SAMPLE ID AIR	DW WT WW C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	(G=GRAB C=CC	COMPO	OSITE RT	COMPO END/GI	SITE RAS	AT' COLLECTION	NERS						Tant	Matale*	04								lorine (Y/N)				
(A-Z, 0-9 /) OTHER Sample IDs MUST BE UNIQUE TISSUE		SAMPLE TYPE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	SAMPLE TEMP	# OF CONTAI		HNO3	HCI	NaOH Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	Other	POD 7 Total	300: CI, F, S	2540C TDS							Residual Ch	Pace	Me (2069 No./ Lat) p I.D.
1 BAA-6-031318	w.	TG			3/3/18	0925		3	11	3								P	Piu	, 2	B	3N				_	6	<u>'/</u>
2 BAA-3-031318	~	6			3/13/18	1018		3	1	3										1_							G	2
3 RAA - 2 - 031318	w.	TG			3/8/1	1119		3		3	_			-8		_				-		_	\square				A	rs
4 RAA-7-031318	~	TG			3/13/18	1202		3	(3	_			_		_		_	V		Y				_			y
5												_	\square	-8		-	\vdash	_	++	_	-							
6		_		L						_			$ \downarrow \downarrow$	-	H	_		_		_								
7									\downarrow			_		-1	-	_		+-		_				_				
8							-	_	+	_			\vdash	-1	-	_	\vdash	+	++	-			-				_	_
9							-	-		_		_	+	_		_	\vdash	-	+++	_	-			\vdash				
10		_					+			-		_	+		-	-				-	-							
11		_					-	-	+	-			+	-1	-	_	+				-		-	\vdash				_
12				1						-								-		ATE		TIME	┢┙		SAMP		TIONS	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	R	ELINQU	ISHED BY	AFFILIA	TION	DAT	E		пме			A	CCEP	IEDE	BTIF	AFFILI	ATION		1			TIME	-	_ [JAM			
200,7 Total Metals*: B, Ca	17	7	¥/1	Nes	av .	3/14	//	16	00	E	B	(60	10	4		fc.	5.		3 ((٥١٢	1 01	630	0.	7	4	Y	Y	
				SAMP	LER NAME	AND SIGN	IATU	RE									-		-						ю _С	ealed /N)	toot	laci
21				-	PRINT Na	ne of SAM	PLEF	B	Can	L.	6	5 ci	FB	2										a d	olved (Y/N	dy Se ler (7,	100	Y/N)
of 21					SIGNATU	RE of SAM	PLEF	e: 1	3/1	1	/					DATE (MM/I	Signer	63	/13	3/1	8			5	Rece	Cuiston Cool		

"important Note: By signing this form you are accepting Pace's NET 30 day payment terms and agreeing to late charges of 1.5% per month for any invoices not paid within 30 days.

F-ALL-Q-020rev 08, 12-Oct-2007

ATTACHMENT 1-2 September 2018 Sampling Event Laboratory Analytical Report



Pace Analytical Services, LLC 9608 Loiret Blvd. Lenexa, KS 66219 (913)599-5665

September 24, 2018

Brandon Griffin Westar Energy 818 S. Kansas Ave Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Project: JEC BAA CCR Pace Project No.: 60280688

Dear Brandon Griffin:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on September 14, 2018. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current, applicable TNI/NELAC standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Autor m. Wilson

Heather Wilson heather.wilson@pacelabs.com 1(913)563-1407 Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: HEATH HORYNA, WESTAR ENERGY Andrew Hare, Westar Energy Adam Kneeling, Haley & Aldrich, Inc. JARED MORRISON, WESTAR ENERGY Melissa Michels, Westar Energy





CERTIFICATIONS

Project: JEC BAA CCR Pace Project No.: 60280688

Kansas Certification IDs

9608 Loiret Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Missouri Certification Number: 10090 Arkansas Drinking Water WY STR Certification #: 2456.01 Arkansas Certification #: 18-016-0 Arkansas Drinking Water Illinois Certification #: 004455 Iowa Certification #: 118 Kansas/NELAP Certification #: E-10116 Louisiana Certification #: 03055 Nevada Certification #: KS000212018-1 Oklahoma Certification #: 9205/9935 Texas Certification #: T104704407 Utah Certification #: KS00021 Kansas Field Laboratory Accreditation: # E-92587 Missouri Certification: 10070 Missouri Certification Number: 10090



SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	Water	09/12/18 14:09	09/14/18 16:20
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	Water	09/12/18 12:30	09/14/18 16:20
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	Water	09/12/18 11:32	09/14/18 16:20
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	Water	09/12/18 15:03	09/14/18 16:20
60280688005	DUP-091218	Water	09/12/18 06:00	09/14/18 16:20



SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Project:JEC BAA CCRPace Project No.:60280688

Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysts	Analytes Reported	Laboratory
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	JDA	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	ZMH	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	OL, WNM	3	PASI-K
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	JDA	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	ZMH	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	OL, WNM	3	PASI-K
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	JDA	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	ZMH	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	OL, WNM	3	PASI-K
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	JDA	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	ZMH	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	OL, WNM	3	PASI-K
60280688005	DUP-091218	EPA 200.7	TDS	2	PASI-K
		SM 2540C	JDA	1	PASI-K
		SM 4500-H+B	ZMH	1	PASI-K
		EPA 300.0	OL, WNM	3	PASI-K



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Method:	EPA 200.7
Description:	200.7 Metals, Total
Client:	WESTAR ENERGY
Date:	September 24, 2018

General Information:

5 samples were analyzed for EPA 200.7. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

Sample Preparation:

The samples were prepared in accordance with EPA 200.7 with any exceptions noted below.

Initial Calibrations (including MS Tune as applicable):

All criteria were within method requirements with any exceptions noted below.

Continuing Calibration:

All criteria were within method requirements with any exceptions noted below.

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Method: SM 2540C

Description:2540C Total Dissolved SolidsClient:WESTAR ENERGYDate:September 24, 2018

General Information:

5 samples were analyzed for SM 2540C. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Duplicate Sample:

All duplicate sample results were within method acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Method:	SM 4500-H+B
Description:	4500H+ pH, Electrometric
Client:	WESTAR ENERGY
Date:	September 24, 2018

General Information:

5 samples were analyzed for SM 4500-H+B. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

H6: Analysis initiated outside of the 15 minute EPA required holding time.

- BAA-2-091218 (Lab ID: 60280688001)
- BAA-3-091218 (Lab ID: 60280688002)
- BAA-6-091218 (Lab ID: 60280688003)
- BAA-7-091218 (Lab ID: 60280688004)
- DUP-091218 (Lab ID: 60280688005)

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Duplicate Sample:

All duplicate sample results were within method acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Method:EPA 300.0Description:300.0 IC Anions 28 DaysClient:WESTAR ENERGYDate:September 24, 2018

General Information:

5 samples were analyzed for EPA 300.0. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

Additional Comments:

This data package has been reviewed for quality and completeness and is approved for release.



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Sample: BAA-2-091218	Lab ID: 602	280688001	Collected: 09/12/	18 14:09	Received: 09)/14/18 16:20 N	latrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable	1380	ug/L	100	1	09/18/18 09:42	09/20/18 11:55	7440-42-8	
Calcium, Total Recoverable	214000	ug/L	200	1	09/18/18 09:42	09/20/18 14:43	7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 254	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	1790	mg/L	5.0	1		09/19/18 10:42		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 45	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	8.5	Std. Units	0.10	1		09/17/18 12:09		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	220	mg/L	20.0	20		09/24/18 10:11	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.63	mg/L	0.20	1		09/22/18 10:21	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	983	mg/L	100	100		09/23/18 09:46	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Sample: BAA-3-091218	Lab ID: 602	280688002	Collected: 09/12/	18 12:30	Received: 09	0/14/18 16:20 N	Aatrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	0.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable Calcium, Total Recoverable	2300 487000	ug/L ug/L	100 200	1 1	09/18/18 09:42 09/18/18 09:42	09/20/18 11:57 09/20/18 14:45	7440-42-8 7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 254	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	3430	mg/L	5.0	1		09/19/18 10:42		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 450	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	6.9	Std. Units	0.10	1		09/17/18 12:08		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	172	mg/L	10.0	10		09/24/18 11:00	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.92	mg/L	0.20	1		09/22/18 11:03	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	2170	mg/L	200	200		09/23/18 11:24	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Sample: BAA-6-091218	Lab ID: 602	280688003	Collected: 09/12/	18 11:32	Received: 09	/14/18 16:20 N	latrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable Calcium, Total Recoverable	5920 490000	ug/L ug/L	100 200	1 1	09/18/18 09:42 09/18/18 09:42	09/20/18 12:00 09/20/18 14:47	7440-42-8 7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 254	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	3630	mg/L	5.0	1		09/19/18 10:42		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 450	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.1	Std. Units	0.10	1		09/17/18 12:01		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	314	mg/L	20.0	20		09/24/18 11:16	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.79	mg/L	0.20	1		09/22/18 11:32	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	2190	mg/L	200	200		09/23/18 11:57	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Sample: BAA-7-091218	Lab ID: 602	280688004	Collected: 09/12/	18 15:03	Received: 09)/14/18 16:20 N	latrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	00.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable Calcium, Total Recoverable	837 208000	ug/L ug/L	100 200	1 1	09/18/18 09:42 09/18/18 09:42	09/20/18 12:02 09/20/18 14:50	7440-42-8 7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 254	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	1800	mg/L	5.0	1		09/19/18 10:42		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 45	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.4	Std. Units	0.10	1		09/17/18 12:11		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	211	mg/L	20.0	20		09/24/18 12:05	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.79	mg/L	0.20	1		09/22/18 12:43	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	914	mg/L	100	100		09/23/18 12:30	14808-79-8	



Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

Sample: DUP-091218	Lab ID: 60	280688005	Collected: 09/12/	18 06:00	Received: 09	0/14/18 16:20 N	latrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
200.7 Metals, Total	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 20	0.7 Preparation Me	thod: EF	PA 200.7			
Boron, Total Recoverable Calcium, Total Recoverable	2270 512000	ug/L ug/L	100 200	1 1	09/18/18 09:42 09/18/18 09:42	09/20/18 12:04 09/20/18 14:52	7440-42-8 7440-70-2	
2540C Total Dissolved Solids	Analytical Me	thod: SM 254	40C					
Total Dissolved Solids	3650	mg/L	5.0	1		09/19/18 10:42		
4500H+ pH, Electrometric	Analytical Me	thod: SM 450	00-H+B					
pH at 25 Degrees C	7.0	Std. Units	0.10	1		09/17/18 11:49		H6
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Me	thod: EPA 30	0.0					
Chloride	171	mg/L	10.0	10		09/24/18 13:24	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.90	mg/L	0.20	1		09/22/18 12:57	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	2210	mg/L	200	200		09/23/18 13:02	14808-79-8	



Project:	JEC BAA CCR												
Pace Project No.:	60280688												
QC Batch:	544993			Analys	is Method	: E	PA 200.7						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 200.7			Analys	is Descrip	tion: 2	00.7 Metals,	Total					
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 602806	88001, 6	0280688002	, 60280688	003, 6028	0688004, 6	60280688005	5					
METHOD BLANK:	2233253			Ν	/latrix: Wa	ter							
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 602806	38001,6	0280688002	, 60280688	003, 6028	0688004, 6	60280688005	5					
				Blank	K R	eporting							
Parar	neter		Units	Resul	t	Limit	Analyz	ed	Qualifiers				
Boron			ug/L		<100	100	09/20/18	11:49					
Calcium			ug/L		<200	200	0 09/20/18	14:38					
LABORATORY CO	NTROL SAMPLE	2233	254										
				Spike	LCS	6	LCS	% Rec	•				
Parar	neter	_	Units	Conc.	Resu	ult	% Rec	Limits	. Qi	Jalifiers	_		
Boron			ug/L	1000	1	946	95	85	5-115				
Calcium			ug/L	10000		9070	91	85	5-115				
MATRIX SPIKE & N	ATRIX SPIKE DI	JPLICAT	FE: 22332	55		2233256							
				MS	MSD								
_		60	280527001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Paramete	er L	Inits	Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Boron	ι	ıg/L	ND	1000	1000	994	1010	94	96	70-130	2	20	
Calcium	ι	ıg/L	6690	10000	10000	15800	15800	91	91	70-130	0	20	
MATRIX SPIKE SA	MPLE:	2233	257										
				602806	28001	Spike	MS	N	IS	% Rec			
Parar	neter		Units	Res	ult	Conc.	Result	%	Rec	Limits		Qualif	iers
Boron		_	ug/L		ND	1000	9	50	94	70-	130		
Calcium			ug/L		494	10000	95	60	91	70-	130		

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR							
Pace Project No.:	60280688							
QC Batch:	545230		Analysis M	ethod:	SM 2540C			
QC Batch Method:	SM 2540C		Analysis De	escription:	2540C Total Dis	ssolved Solids		
Associated Lab San	nples: 60280688	8001, 6028068800	2, 60280688003,	60280688004	, 60280688005			
METHOD BLANK:	2234165		Matrix	x: Water				
Associated Lab San	nples: 60280688	8001, 6028068800	2, 60280688003,	60280688004	, 60280688005			
			Blank	Reporting				
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyze	d Quali	fiers	
Total Dissolved Soli	ds	mg/L	<5.0) :	5.0 09/19/18 10):42		
	NTROL SAMPLE:	2234166						
Doron	aator	Linito	Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	Qualifiara	
Falan		Units			76 Rec	LITTILS		
Total Dissolved Soli	ds	mg/L	1000	1010	101	80-120		
SAMPLE DUPLICA	TE: 2234167							
			60280654007	Dup		Max		
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifier	rs
Total Dissolved Soli	ds	mg/L	483	3 4	79	1	10	
SAMPLE DUPLICA	TE: 2234168							
			60280607006	Dup		Max		
Paran	neter	Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifier	rs
Total Dissolved Soli	ds	mg/L	827	7 8	25	0	10	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR		
Pace Project No.:	60280688		
QC Batch:	544850	Analysis Method:	SM 4500-H+B
QC Batch Method:	SM 4500-H+B	Analysis Description:	4500H+B pH
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 60280688001, 60280688002, 6	0280688003, 60280688004	4, 60280688005
SAMPLE DUPLICA	TE: 2232922		

		60280457001	Dup		Max		
Parameter	Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifiers	
pH at 25 Degrees C	Std. Units	6.9	7.3	5		5 H6	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.



Project:	JEC BAA C	CR											
Pace Project No.:	60280688												
QC Batch:	545822			Analys	sis Method	: E	EPA 300.0						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 300.0			Analys	sis Descrip	tion: 3	300.0 IC Anic	ons					
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 602	80688001,	60280688002	, 60280688	003, 6028	0688004, 0	6028068800	5					
METHOD BLANK:	2237213			Ν	Matrix: Wa	ter							
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 602	80688001,	60280688002	, 60280688	003, 6028	0688004, (6028068800	5					
Parar	neter		Units	Blank Resul	k R It	eporting Limit	Analyz	zed	Qualifiers				
Fluoride			mg/L		<0.20	0.20	09/22/18	09:52		_			
LABORATORY CO	NTROL SAMI	PLE: 223	7214										
Parar	neter		Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Resu	S ult	LCS % Rec	% Rec Limits	C QI	alifiers			
Fluoride			mg/L	2.5		2.4	95	90)-110				
MATRIX SPIKE & N	MATRIX SPIK	E DUPLICA	TE: 22372	15		2237216							
Paramete	ər	6 Units	0280688001 Result	MS Spike Conc.	MSD Spike Conc.	MS Result	MSD Result	MS % Rec	MSD % Rec	% Rec Limits	RPD	Max RPD	Qual
Fluoride		mg/L	0.63	2.5	2.5	3.2	3.2	102	104	90-110	1	15	
MATRIX SPIKE SA	MPLE:	223	7217										
Parar	neter		Units	602806 Res	88002 ult	Spike Conc.	MS Result	M % F	IS Rec	% Rec Limits		Qualif	iers
Fluoride			mg/L		0.92	2.5		3.5	105	90-	110		

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	JEC BAA CCR											
Pace Project No.:	60280688											
QC Batch:	545852		Analys	is Method:	E	PA 300.0						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 300.0		Analys	is Descript	ion: 3	00.0 IC Anio	ns					
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 60280688	8001, 60280688002	, 60280688	003, 60280	0688004, 6	0280688005	5					
METHOD BLANK:	2237829		Ν	latrix: Wat	ter							
Associated Lab Sar	nples: 60280688	8001, 60280688002	, 60280688	003, 60280	0688004, 6	0280688005	5					
			Blank	R	eporting							
Paran	neter	Units	Result	t	Limit	Analyz	ed	Qualifiers				
Sulfate		mg/L		<1.0	1.0	09/23/18	07:59					
LABORATORY COI	NTROL SAMPLE:	2237830										
			Spike	LCS	;	LCS	% Red					
Parar	neter	Units	Conc.	Resu	lt	% Rec	Limits	a Qi	alifiers			
Sulfate		mg/L	5		5.0	100	90	0-110		-		
MATRIX SPIKE & M	IATRIX SPIKE DUI	PLICATE: 22378	31		2237832							
			MS	MSD								
		60280688001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Paramete	er Un	its Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Sulfate	mg	/L 983	500	500	1520	1530	107	110	90-110	1	15	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project:	ject: JEC BAA CCR											
Pace Project No.:	60280688											
QC Batch:	545886		Analysis	Method:	E	PA 300.0						
QC Batch Method:	EPA 300.0		Analysis	s Descript	ion: 3	00.0 IC Anio	ns					
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 60280688	001, 60280688002	2, 602806880	03, 60280	0688004, 6	60280688005	5					
METHOD BLANK:	2238132		Ma	atrix: Wat	er							
Associated Lab Sar	mples: 60280688	001, 60280688002	, 602806880	03, 60280	0688004, 6	0280688005	5					
			Blank	R	eporting							
Parar	neter	Units	Result		Limit	Analyz	ed	Qualifiers				
Chloride		mg/L	~	<1.0	1.0	09/24/18	09:20					
LABORATORY CO	NTROL SAMPLE:	2238133										
			Spike	LCS		LCS	% Rec	;				
Parar	neter	Units	Conc.	Resu	lt	% Rec	Limits	Qı	ualifiers	_		
Chloride		mg/L	5		4.9	98	90	-110				
MATRIX SPIKE & N	ATRIX SPIKE DUF	PLICATE: 22381	34		2238135							
			MS	MSD								
		60280688001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Paramete	er Uni	ts Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Chloride	mg	/L 220	100	100	331	330	110	109	90-110	0	15	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



QUALIFIERS

Project: JEC BAA CCR

Pace Project No.: 60280688

DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

TNTC - Too Numerous To Count

J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

LABORATORIES

PASI-K Pace Analytical Services - Kansas City

ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

H6 Analysis initiated outside of the 15 minute EPA required holding time.



QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project:	JEC BAA CCR
Pace Project No.:	60280688

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch					
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	EPA 200.7	544993	EPA 200.7	545063					
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	EPA 200.7	544993	EPA 200.7	545063					
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	EPA 200.7	544993	EPA 200.7	545063					
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	EPA 200.7	544993	EPA 200.7	545063					
60280688005	DUP-091218	EPA 200.7	544993	EPA 200.7	545063					
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	SM 2540C	545230							
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	SM 2540C	545230							
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	SM 2540C	545230							
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	SM 2540C	545230							
60280688005	DUP-091218	SM 2540C	545230							
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	SM 4500-H+B	544850							
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	SM 4500-H+B	544850							
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	SM 4500-H+B	544850							
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	SM 4500-H+B	544850							
60280688005	DUP-091218	SM 4500-H+B	544850							
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	EPA 300.0	545822							
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	EPA 300.0	545852							
60280688001	BAA-2-091218	EPA 300.0	545886							
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	EPA 300.0	545822							
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	EPA 300.0	545852							
60280688002	BAA-3-091218	EPA 300.0	545886							
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	EPA 300.0	545822							
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	EPA 300.0	545852							
60280688003	BAA-6-091218	EPA 300.0	545886							
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	EPA 300.0	545822							
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	EPA 300.0	545852							
60280688004	BAA-7-091218	EPA 300.0	545886							
60280688005	DUP-091218	EPA 300.0	545822							
60280688005	DUP-091218	EPA 300.0	545852							
60280688005	DUP-091218	EPA 300.0	545886	545886						

Sample Condition Upon Receip	WO#:60280688
Client Name: WCG + or Energy Courier: FedEx □ UPS □ VIA Ø Clay □ PEX □ ECI Tracking #: Pace Shipping Lab Custody Seal on Cooler/Box Present: Yes Ø No □ Seals intact: Decking Metatricity Pubble Warn □ Pubble Page □ For	□ Pace □ Xroads □ Client □ Other □ Hel Used? Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □
Thermometer Used: <u>T-248</u> Type of Ice: Wet Blue	arr L None Other L ue None Date and initials of person
Temperature should be above freezing to 6°C	Prected
Chain of Custody present:	
Chain of Custody relinquished:	
Samples arrived within holding time:	
Short Hold Time analyses (<72hr):	INA 2H
Rush Turn Around Time requested:	
Sufficient volume:	
Correct containers used:	
Pace containers used:	
Containers intact:	
Unpreserved 5035A / TX1005/1006 soils frozen in 48hrs?	Z INIA
Filtered volume received for dissolved tests?	LINIA .
Sample labels match COC: Date / time / ID / analyses	
Samples contain multiple phases? Matrix: WT Types Zing	
Containers requiring pH preservation in compliance? (HNO ₃ , H ₂ SO ₄ , HCI<2; NaOH>9 Sulfide, NaOH>10 Cyanide) (Exceptions: VOA, Micro, O&G, KS TPH, OK-DRO)	List sample IDs, volumes, lot #'s of preservative and the date/time added.
Jyanide water sample checks: Lead acetate strip turns dark? (Record only) □Yes □No	
Potassium iodide test strip tums blue/purple? (Preserve)	
Trip Blank present: 🛛 🖓 es 🕬 No	divia
Headspace in VOA vials (>6mm):	ŹN/A
Samples from USDA Regulated Area: State:	
Additional labels attached to 5035A / TX1005 vials in the field?	Inva Hm
Client Notification/ Resolution: Copy COC to Client? Y	N Field Data Required? Y / N
Person Contacted: Date/Time: Comments/ Resolution:	
Project Manager Review: REVIEWED By hwilson at 1:50 pm, 9/17/18	Date:



CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately.

Section Required	A d Client Information:	Section B Section C Required Project Information: Invoice Information:																						Pa	ige:	1	of	1			
Company	WESTAR ENERGY	Report To: E	Brando	n Griffin					Atten	ntion:		Jarec	Мо	rriso	n						×										
Address:	818 Kansas Ave	Сору То: Ј	ared N	lorrison,					Comp	рапу I	Name	: W	/ES1	AR	ENE	RGY	,			F	REG	ULAT	OR	AG	ENC	Y	42		- L.,		1
	Topeka, KS 66612								Addre	ess:		SI	EE S	ECT	ION	A					•	NPDE	s		GROU	ND W	/ATEF	γΓ	DRINKIN	IG WA	TER
Email To:	brandon.l.griffin@westarenergy.com	Purchase Ord	ier No.:	IOJE	C-00	000 33	150		Pace Refere	Quote ence:	9									UST CRCRA COTHER											
Phone:	(785) 575-8135 Fax:	Project Name	JE	C RA	A C	CR			Pace Manag	Projec ger:	ot	leat	ner V	Vilso	on, 91	13-56	53-1	407			Site	Loca	tion		KG		U			////	
Request	ed Due Date/TAT: 7 DAY	Project Numb	er:	100					Pace	Profile	o# (9657	•	Ч								STA	TE:	-		,	- 6				
																	F	lequ	lest	ed A	naly	sis F	ilter	ed (Y	(/N)						
	Section D Valid Matrix C Required Client Information MATRIX	odes CODE	o left) MP)		COLI	LECTED					F	rese	rvati	ives		N IA															
TEM #	DRINKING WATER WASTE WASTE WAS	DW WT P SL OL WP AR AT TS	MATRIX CODE (see valid codes to SAMPLE TYPE (GEGRAB C=CO	COM ST.			SITE RAB	SAMPLE TEMP AT COLLECTION	# OF CONTAINERS	Unpreserved	H ₂ SO4	HNO ₃	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	LAnalysis Test	200.7 Total Metals*	300.0: chloride, fluoride	300.0: sulfate	2540C: TDS	4500: pH						Residual Chlorine (Y/N)	Gol	906 a	18 No./	ab I.D.
	PAA 2 (1912-1	8		DAIL	TIVIL	9/12	1409	+	┢	F	F	+	1	Ħ	-	F	Y	v	x	Y	Y	1	H	\neg		H	\neg	2011	A BO	IN	od
	BAA-2- 09121				<u> </u>	9/12	1230	1	2	\mathbf{T}	H	(+	H	╈		Ê	x	x	x	x	1	H	+		+		T	1		002
2	BAA-6- (912)	<u> </u>	NT G		-	9/12	1132	1	17	T	H	i	\top	Ħ			x	x	x	x	x		\square						Ì		(D3
3	BAA-7- ()9121	8				9/12	1503	1	1°	+	H	+	1	Ħ	+	12	1 x	x	x	x	x		\square			H		÷	4		
		-			1	1		1		+	H			Ħ	\top		F									\square					
6	DUP-091218		56			9/12	0600	T	2	1	Ħ	1	Γ	Ħ			X	4	X	x,	5							1	1		ay
7								1			П																			1	, <u>,</u>
8														Π																	
9								1						Π			Г														
10								1	Γ		Π		Τ																		
11																															
12																															
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		RELINQ	UISHED BY		NON	DAT	E		TIME				ACC	EPTE	D BY	AF	FILIA	TION			DAT	E	т	IME			SAM	PLE COND	ITIONS	
*200.7 T	otal Metals: B and Ca	B	V	Y1	we	Ster/	9/3	18	100	900	0		/	0/	n	N	m	75	5			9/1	U	16	20	1.	0	Y	X	1	/
			1										1			1						1.				1		,		ľ	
<u> </u>									+				/			_		-	_	_	1					T				1	
P									1	_																T				1	
19e 23 of 2;					SAMPI	PRINT Nam	AND SIGN	PLER	RE B/	ran Ma	da	~	G	1	Pl	54		ATE	Sign	ed	0	(12	/10	/		Temn in °C		Received on Ice (Y/N)	ustody Sealed Cooler (Y/N)		amples Intact (Y/N)
ω						SIGNATU		LCR	. /	Ŋ	Y	1	-				1 (MM/D	DAY	n: O	7/	14	r v 8			1			l õ	1	٥ ٥

ATTACHMENT 2 Statistical Analyses ATTACHMENT 2-1 January 2018 Statistical Analyses



HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. 6500 Rockside Road Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44131 216.739.0555

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

November 3, 2022 File No. 129778

TO:	Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Jared Morrison – Director, Water and Waste Programs
FROM:	Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Steven F. Putrich, P.E., Senior Associate – Engineering Principal Mark Nicholls, P.G., Senior Associate – Senior Hydrogeologist
SUBJECT:	Background Groundwater Monitoring Data Statistical Evaluation Completed January 15, 2018
	Jeffrey Energy Center Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill

Pursuant to Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) § 257.90 (Rule), this memorandum summarizes the statistical evaluation of analytical results for the background monitoring groundwater sampling events for the Jeffrey Energy Center (JEC) Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill (BASA/BAL). These background monitoring groundwater sampling events were completed from **August 2016 through August 2017,** with laboratory results received and accepted by **October 17, 2017**.

The statistical evaluation discussed in this memorandum was conducted to determine if Appendix III groundwater monitoring constituents have been detected in downgradient wells at concentrations that represent a statistically significant increase (SSI) above background or upgradient wells consistent with the requirements in 40 CFR § 257.94.

Statistical Evaluation of Appendix III Constituents

The Rule provides four specific options for statistical evaluation of groundwater quality data collected at a coal combustion residual (CCR) unit (40 CFR § 257.93(f) (1-4)). The two statistical methods used for these evaluations, prediction limits (PL) and Parametric Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), were certified by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. on January 15, 2018. The PL method, as determined applicable for this sampling event, was used to evaluate potential SSIs above background. Background levels for each constituent listed in Appendix III (boron, calcium, chloride, fluoride, pH, sulfate, and total dissolved solids) were computed as upper prediction limits (UPL), considering one future observation, and a minimum 95 percent confidence coefficient. The entire data set for each compliance well was checked for the presence of outliers. If the presence of outliers was confirmed, then the outlier was removed from the

Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. November 3, 2022 Page 2

data set. After removing confirmed outliers, the entire data set was compared against the interwell background UPL to check for exceedances. Interwell evaluation compares the data points from downgradient compliance wells against a background data set composed of upgradient well data (MW-BAA-6). If all data points were below the background limit, then the well was excluded from further analysis. If more than two data points exceeded the background limit, then the data would be checked for seasonal influences and other significant differences using ANOVA, and SSIs were determined based on the most recent four rounds of the data distribution.

STATISTICAL EVALUATION

As documented in the statistical method certification, the Parametric ANOVA and PL methods were used to complete the statistical evaluation of the referenced data set. A PL procedure is one in which a concentration limit for each constituent is established from the distribution of the background data, with a specified confidence level (e.g., 95 percent). The upper endpoint of a concentration limit is called the UPL. Depending on the background data distribution, parametric or non-parametric PL procedures are used to evaluate groundwater monitoring data using this method. Parametric PLs utilize normally distributed data or normalized data via a transformation of the sample background data used to construct the limit. If the data are non-normal and a transformation is not indicated, non-parametric procedures (order statistics or bootstrap methods) are used to calculate the PL. If all the background data are non-detect, a maximum reporting limit may serve as an appropriate UPL.

The ANOVA is a statistical procedure for comparing average concentration differences between one or more groups (e.g., wells). Depending on the background data distribution, parametric or non-parametric ANOVA procedures are used to evaluate groundwater monitoring data using this method. Parametric ANOVA assesses differences in means, and the non-parametric ANOVA compares median concentration levels. The method determines whether there are statistically significant differences in mean/median concentrations among a set of down-gradient wells relative to the background wells. In one-way ANOVA, the null hypothesis is that the groups under comparison have equal means and that any differences in the sample means are due to chance. The alternative hypothesis is stated as the means of the groups are not equal. The decision error, level (α) value shall comply with the performance criteria set forth in § 257.93(g)(2).

The statistical evaluation was conducted using the background data set for all Appendix III constituents. The UPLs were calculated from the background well data set using Chemstat software after testing for outlier sample results that would warrant removal from the data set based on likely error in sampling or measurement. Both visual and statistical outlier tests for the background data were performed using Chemstat and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's ProUCL 5.1 software, and a visual inspection of the data was performed using box plots and distribution plots for the downgradient sample data. A pH result from MW-BAA-3 collected on August 26, 2016 and a sulfate result from MW-BAA-4 collected on November 3, 2016 were identified as outliers that warranted removal from the data set.



Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. November 3, 2022 Page 3

BACKGROUND DISTRIBUTIONS

The groundwater analytical results for each sampling event from the background sample location (MW-BAA-6) were combined to calculate the UPL for each Appendix III constituent. The variability and distribution of the pooled data set was evaluated to determine the method for UPL calculation. Per the document, *Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Unified Guidance,* March 2009, background concentrations were updated based on statistical evaluation of analytical results collected through **August 2017**.

RESULTS OF APPENDIX III DOWNGRADIENT STATISTICAL COMPARISONS

The entire background data set from the downgradient wells for each of the Appendix III constituents was compared to their respective background UPLs (Table I). A sample concentration greater than the background UPL is considered to represent an SSI. The results of the background groundwater monitoring statistical evaluation is provided in Table I. **Based on this statistical evaluation on groundwater sampling data collected from August 2016 through August 2017, no SSIs above background PLs occurred at the JEC BASA/BAL.**

Tables:

Table I – Summary of Background Groundwater Monitoring Statistical Evaluation

Revision No.	Date	Notes
0	January 2018	Original
1	September 2020	Update report to current Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. format



TABLE

TABLE I

SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATISTICAL EVALUATION BACKGROUND SAMPLING EVENTS (AUGUST 2016 - AUGUST 2017) JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER - BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA/BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL ST. MARYS, KANSAS

Int **Frequency of** Percent Range of Standard Coefficient of Outlier Outlier Distribution Location Id Mean Variance Trend Detection Non-Detects Non-Detects Deviation Variation Presence Removed Well Backgr APPENDIX III: Boron (mg/L) 3.388 1.48E+00 MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) N/A N/A 1.215 0.359 Stable 8 1 8 0% No No 8 1 0% N/A N/A 1.051 1.94E-02 0.139 0.132 MW-BAA-2 8 No No Stable Parametric 0% N/A N/A 2.263 1.13E-02 0.106 0.0469 MW-BAA-3 8 No No Stable Parametric 17 8 MW-BAA-7 8 8 0% N/A N/A 1.199 3.26E-02 0.181 0.151 No No Stable Parametric APPENDIX III: Calcium (mg/L) MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) 8 8 0% N/A N/A 491.8 3.36E+03 57.92 0.118 Yes No Stable 0% N/A N/A 182.6 MW-BAA-2 8 8 4.41E+02 21 0.115 No No Stable Parametric MW-BAA-3 8 1 8 0% N/A N/A 512.5 7.63E+02 27.63 0.0539 No No Stable Parametric MW-BAA-7 8 / 8 0% N/A N/A 237.6 1.98E+02 14.07 0.0592 No No Stable Parametric APPENDIX III: Chloride (mg/L) N/A N/A 217.5 1.03E+03 32.1 0.148 MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) 8 8 0% No No Stable N/A 0% N/A 125 5.29E+02 0.184 MW-BAA-2 8 8 23 No No Stable 1 Parametric MW-BAA-3 8 0% N/A N/A 155.1 1.56E+01 3.944 0.0254 1 8 No No Stable Parametric N/A N/A MW-BAA-7 8 8 0% 180.8 1.14E+03 33.7 0.186 No Stable Parametric Yes APPENDIX III: Fluoride (mg/L) MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) 0.633 2.59E-02 0.254 N/A N/A 0.161 8 8 0% No No Stable 0.498 MW-BAA-2 8 8 0% N/A N/A 1.82E-03 0.0427 0.0858 No No Stable Parametric N/A N/A 0.929 MW-BAA-3 8 1 8 0% 5.47E-03 0.074 0.0796 No No Stable Parametric N/A MW-BAA-7 8 / 8 0% N/A 0.788 6.91E-03 0.0831 0.106 No No Stable Parametric **APPENDIX III: Ph** 7.038 MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) 8 1 8 0% N/A N/A 2.27E-02 0.151 0.0214 No No Stable MW-BAA-2 8 0% N/A N/A 7.388 1.27E-02 0.113 1 8 0.0152 No No Stable Parametric 0% N/A N/A 7.2 0.0257 MW-BAA-3 8 3.43E-02 0.185 1 8 Yes Yes Stable Parametric MW-BAA-7 8 8 0% N/A N/A 7.325 1.07E-02 0.104 0.0141 No No Stable Parametric APPENDIX III: Sulfate (mg/L) MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) 8 0% N/A N/A 1733 8.17E+04 285.8 0.165 8 Yes No Stable 8 0% N/A N/A 686.8 2.24E+04 149.8 0.218 MW-BAA-2 8 No Stable No Parametric MW-BAA-3 8 1 8 0% N/A N/A 2045 1.57E+04 125.5 0.0614 No No Stable Parametric MW-BAA-7 0% N/A N/A 8.03E+02 28.33 8 8 915.8 0.0309 No No Stable Parametric APPENDIX III: Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L) MW-BAA-6 (Upgradient) 8 / 8 0% N/A N/A 3100 1.80E+05 424.7 0.137 Yes No Stable N/A N/A MW-BAA-2 8 1 8 0% 1284 1.74E+04 131.9 0.103 No No Stable Parametric MW-BAA-3 8 0% N/A 3274 2.72E+04 165 0.0504 1 8 N/A No No Stable Parametric MW-BAA-7 8 0% N/A N/A 1806 5.60E+03 74.82 0.0414 No 1 8 No Stable Parametric

Notes & Abbreviations:

* - Determined using the Shapiro-Wilks statistical test at a 1% significance level and a residual probability plot.

1: The interwell group difference is determined by comparing the pooled down-gradient well dataset to the pooled up-gradient background well dataset using a parametric t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

2: Background exceedance at individual down-gradient well is determined by comparing to pooled up-gradient background well dataset using either Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with multiple comparison or prediction limit methods at a 1% significance level. 3: Background exceedance at individual down-gradient well is determined by comparing to the historic background from the same well using either a parametric control chart or non-parametric prediction limit methods at a 1% significance level. 4: Exceedance above background is determined by evaluating the appropriate interwell or intrawell comparison exceedance.

% = percent

mg/L = milligrams per liter

N/A = not applicable

NT = not tested

SU = standard unit



terwell Comparison		
Exceedance above ound at Individual Well		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		
No		

ATTACHMENT 2-2 March 2018 Statistical Analysis



HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. 6500 Rockside Road Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44131 216.739.0555

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

November 3, 2022 File No. 129778

TO:	Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Jared Morrison – Director, Water and Waste Programs
FROM:	Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Steven F. Putrich, P.E., Senior Associate – Engineering Principal Mark Nicholls, P.G., Senior Associate – Senior Hydrogeologist
SUBJECT:	March 2018 Semi-Annual Groundwater Detection Monitoring Data Statistical Evaluation Completed July 16, 2018 Jeffrey Energy Center Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill

Pursuant to Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) §§ 257.93 and 257.94 (Rule), this memorandum summarizes the statistical evaluation of the analytical results for the **March 2018** semi-annual detection monitoring groundwater sampling event for the Jeffrey Energy Center (JEC) Bottom Ash Settling Area/Bottom Ash Landfill (BASA/BAL). This semi-annual detection monitoring groundwater sampling event was completed on **March 13, 2018**, with laboratory results received and accepted on **April 16, 2018**.

The statistical evaluation discussed in this memorandum was conducted to determine if Appendix III groundwater monitoring constituents have been detected in downgradient wells at concentrations that represent a statistically significant increase (SSI) above background or upgradient wells consistent with the requirements in 40 CFR § 257.94.

Statistical Evaluation of Appendix III Constituents

The Rule provides four specific options for statistical evaluation of groundwater quality data collected at a coal combustion residual (CCR) unit (40 CFR § 257.93(f)(1-4)). The two statistical methods used for these evaluations, prediction limits (PLs) and Parametric Analysis of Variance, were certified by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. on October 17, 2017. The PL method, as determined applicable for this sampling event, was used to evaluate potential SSIs above background. Background levels for each constituent listed in Appendix III (boron, calcium, chloride, fluoride, pH, sulfate, and total dissolved solids) were computed as upper prediction limits (UPL), considering one future observation, and a minimum 95 percent confidence coefficient. The most recent groundwater sampling event from each compliance well was compared to the corresponding background PL to determine if an SSI existed.
Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. November 3, 2022 Page 2

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

An interwell evaluation using the PL method was used to complete the statistical evaluation of the referenced dataset. Interwell evaluation compares the most recent values from downgradient compliance wells against a background dataset composed of upgradient well data (MW-BAA-6). A PL procedure is one in which a concentration limit for each constituent is established from the distribution of the background data, with a specified confidence level (e.g., 95 percent). The upper endpoint of a concentration limit is called the UPL. Depending on the background data distribution, parametric or non-parametric PL procedures are used to evaluate groundwater monitoring data using this method. Parametric PLs utilize normally distributed data or normalized data via a transformation of the sample background data used to construct the limit. If the data are non-normal and a transformation is not indicated, non-parametric procedures (order statistics or bootstrap methods) are used to calculate the PL. If all the background data are non-detect, a maximum reporting limit may serve as an appropriate UPL.

The statistical evaluation was conducted using the background dataset for all Appendix III constituents. The UPLs were calculated from the background well dataset using Chemstat software after testing for outlier sample results that would warrant removal from the dataset based on likely error in sampling or measurement. Both visual and statistical outlier tests for the background data were performed using Chemstat and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's ProUCL 5.1 software, and a visual inspection of the data was performed using box plots and distribution plots for the downgradient sample data. No sample data were identified as outliers that warranted removal from the dataset.

BACKGROUND DISTRIBUTIONS

The groundwater analytical results for each sampling event from the background sample location MW-BAA-6 were combined to calculate the UPL for each Appendix III constituent. The variability and distribution of the pooled dataset were evaluated to determine the method for UPL calculation. Per the document, *Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Unified Guidance,* March 2009, background concentrations were updated based on statistical evaluation of analytical results collected through **June 2017**.

RESULTS OF APPENDIX III DOWNGRADIENT STATISTICAL COMPARISONS

Sample concentrations from the downgradient wells for each of the Appendix III constituents from the **March 2018** semi-annual detection monitoring sampling event were compared to their respective background UPLs (Table I). A sample concentration greater than the background UPL is considered to represent a SSI. The results of the groundwater detection monitoring statistical evaluation are provided in Table I. **Based on this statistical evaluation of groundwater sampling data collected in March 2018**, **no SSIs above background PLs occurred at the JEC BASA/BAL.**

Enclosures:

Table I – March 2018 Detection Monitoring Statistical Analysis Summary



TABLE

TABLE ISUMMARY OF SEMI-ANNUAL DETECTION GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATISTICAL EVALUATIONMARCH 2018 SAMPLING EVENTJEFFREY ENERGY CENTER BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA/BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL

ST. MARYS, KANSAS

													Interw	vell Analysis
Location Id	Frequency of Detection	Percent Non-Detects	Range of Non-Detects	Maximum Detect	Variance	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Outlier Presence	Outlier Removed	Trend	Distribution Well	March 2018 Concentration (mg/L)	Background Limits ¹ (UPL) mg/L	SSI
CCR APPENDIX-III: Boron, Total (mg/L)														
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	1.3	1.94E-02	0.139	0.132	No	No	Stable	Parametric	0.72	8.93	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	2.4	1.13E-02	0.106	0.0469	Yes	No	Stable	Parametric	2.2	8.93	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	1.3	3.26E-02	0.181	0.151	No	No	Stable	Parametric	0.90	8.93	No
CCR APPENDIX-III: Calcium, Total (mg/L)														
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	224	4.41E+02	21	0.115	No	No	Stable	Parametric	135	756	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	539	7.63E+02	27.63	0.0539	No	No	Stable	Parametric	506	756	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	260	1.98E+02	14.07	0.0592	No	No	Stable	Parametric	216	756	No
CCR APPENDIX-III: Chloride, Total (mg/L)														
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	163	5.29E+02	23	0.184	No	No	Stable	Parametric	70.0	364	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	158	1.56E+01	3.944	0.0254	No	No	Stable	Parametric	149	364	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	201	1.14E+03	33.7	0.186	Yes	No	Stable	Parametric	194	364	No
	CCR APPENDIX-III: Fluoride, Total (mg/L)													
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	0.57	1.82E-03	0.0427	0.0858	No	No	Stable	Parametric	0.57	1.4	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	1	5.47E-03	0.074	0.0796	No	No	Stable	Parametric	0.72	1.4	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	0.9	6.91E-03	0.0831	0.106	No	No	Stable	Parametric	0.77	1.4	No
CCR APPENDIX-III: pH (lab) (SU)														
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	7.5	1.27E-02	0.113	0.0152	No	No	Stable	Parametric	7.4	7.79	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	7.6	3.43E-02	0.185	0.0257	Yes	No	Stable	Parametric	7.2	7.79	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	7.4	1.07E-02	0.104	0.0141	No	No	Stable	Parametric	7.5	7.79	No
CCR APPENDIX-III: Sulfate, Total (mg/L)														
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	983	2.24E+04	149.8	0.218	No	No	Stable	Parametric	387	3037	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	2290	1.57E+04	125.5	0.0614	No	No	Stable	Parametric	1940	3037	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	950	8.03E+02	28.33	0.0309	No	No	Stable	Parametric	914	3037	No
CCR APPENDIX-III: Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (mg/L)														
MW-BAA-2	8/8	0%	-	1510	1.74E+04	131.9	0.103	No	No	Stable	Parametric	949	5039	No
MW-BAA-3	8/8	0%	-	3630	2.72E+04	165	0.0504	No	No	Stable	Parametric	3330	5039	No
MW-BAA-7	8/8	0%	-	1960	5.60E+03	74.82	0.0414	No	No	Stable	Parametric	1800	5039	No

Notes and Abbreviations:

¹ Interwell background data collected from 08/25/2016 through 06/29/2017.

CCR = coal combustion residual

mg/L = milligrams per Liter

SSI = statistically significant increase

SU = standard unit

UPL = upper prediction limit

ATTACHMENT 3 Groundwater Potentiometric Maps



LEGEND	
MW-BAA-1 1219.84	WELL NAME AND GROUNDWATER ELEVATION IN FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL (AMSL), MARCH 2018
+	MONITORING WELL
	PIEZOMETER OBSERVATION ONLY
C	ESTIMATED GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC DBSERVATION ELEVATION CONTOUR, 5-FT INTERVAL (AMSL), DASHED WHERE INFERRED
-	GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION AND APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE (FEET/YEAR)
	BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA / BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL

NOTES

1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

2. GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATIONS WERE MEASURED 06 MARCH 2018.

3. THE GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE WAS APPROXIMATED USING THE HYDRAULIC GRADIENT CALCULATED FROM GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATIONS MEASURED 06 MARCH 2018 AND THE CONDUCTIVITY VALUES AND EFFECTIVE POROSITY VALUES OBTAINED FROM SLUG TESTS COMPLETED APRIL 2016.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: ESRI, 3 SEPTEMBER 2019



600

300 SCALE IN FEET

HALEY EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC. JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER ST. MARY'S, KANSAS

> BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA / BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP MARCH 6, 2018

>> evergy NOVEMBER 2022

FIGURE 2



LEGEND	
MW-BAA-1 1219.84	WELL NAME AND GROUNDWATER ELEVATION IN FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL (AMSL), SEPTEMBER 2018
•	MONITORING WELL
	PIEZOMETER OBSERVATION ONLY
E	ESTIMATED GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC DBSERVATION ELEVATION CONTOUR, 5-FT INTERVAL (AMSL), DASHED WHERE INFERRED
	GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION AND APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE (FEET/YEAR)
	BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA / BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL

NOTES

1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

2. GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATIONS WERE MEASURED 10 SEPTEMBER 2018.

3. THE GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE WAS APPROXIMATED USING THE HYDRAULIC GRADIENT CALCULATED FROM GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATIONS MEASURED 10 SEPTEMBER 2018 AND THE CONDUCTIVITY VALUES AND EFFECTIVE POROSITY VALUES OBTAINED FROM SLUG TESTS COMPLETED APRIL 2016.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: ESRI, 3 SEPTEMBER 2019



600

300 SCALE IN FEET



BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA / BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP SEPTEMBER 10, 2018 NOVEMBER 2022

FIGURE 3